

From: [LYNDA GOUPIL](#)
To: [Morgan and Morecambe OWFTA](#)
Subject: EN020032 – Morgan and Morecambe Offshore Wind Farms Transmission Asset Response deadline
22/06/2026
Date: 21 June 2026 22:00:41

Interested party ref no [REDACTED]

and yet another 'final' deadline is upon us!

How many more final deadlines are we likely to have?

Even when BAE release potential life threatening red flags M&M still persist in pursuing ways to get around possible disaster outcomes. Even though one of the 'M's has now withdrawn!

BAE can still not mitigate the possibility of a major bird strike nor interference to their radar systems, but still M&M persist!

I now discover at this late stage that Unexploded Ordnance is now being discussed. I was never even aware that this was a thing on the Fylde coast! and that EOD, Ministry of Defence Explosive Ordnance Disposal teams are called to detonate the devices! The last one discovered on the beach as recently as May 2024!

Let's be honest here, none of this has anything to do with 'green' energy, if such a thing even exists, this is all about BIG business & BIG money!

None of these multinationals are even vaguely interested in damage to the environment or, as it appears, damage to life, nature or wildlife & the fact that nothing in their way is going to stop this BIG machine! The whole thing has dragged on for so long now that most of the locals assume it isn't happening & has lost momentum, which I think is another method these big companies use to wear the people down! Many still aren't aware this is even happening so poor has been the publicity!

Every time a Final deadline hits not long after another final one appears! How many more are we to expect? M&M seem not to have to adhere to these Final deadlines! M&M just create another final deadline to get around the problems the public have highlighted at the last deadline & on & on it goes!

It appears BAE is M&M's biggest stumbling block & BAE is unable to sign off on a potential bird strike & radar interference. This in itself, without any of the other numerous negative factors, should be sufficient to negate the route of this project. The only successful outcome for this whole project is the cheaper, alternate route via Hill House or preferably not to bother at all!

I'm still not convinced all the drilling/tunnelling is not going to flood the local area as there is so much house building work currently taking place in the area. They are currently building 100s of houses around the Fylde, across the local Moss area requiring 'piling' pouring 1000s of tons of concrete into their foundations, displacing water. The cable corridor involves tunnelling underneath the sand dunes & local golf course, creating the perfect conduit for the sea to flood the whole, already waterlogged area! I have not yet heard M&M address this?

Regarding Morgan having now withdrawn, this surely changes the whole dynamics of this project & all that has gone before &, in my view, negates all the decisions that have gone before & therefore a whole new project should start again from the very beginning!

There still appears to be a lot of outstanding action points at this late stage of the process.

I still have not heard any logical reasons why the cheaper, more appropriate, alternate route is not being utilised?

The application should be refused unless all of these significant issues are resolved before a decision is made & refusal seems the logical outcome!

Regards,

Lynda Goupil

Sent from my iPhone